**BACK TEST RESULTS** 

# Optimized Decisioning Proven to Reduce Warehouse Expense

**MAY - JUNE 2022** 

## At a glance

Study analyzes warehouse allocation and expense for an independent mortgage originator licensed in **45 states** utilizing **four** warehouse facilities. Total funded volume during 2-month study period exceeded **\$500M**.

#### **KEY FINDINGS**

\$58,000 in total cost reduction for two-month period

**\$350,000** annualized savings




For a free back test of your data, contact:

info@optifunder.com

(888) 245-8668

optifunder.com

### **OVERVIEW**



We conducted a back test analysis on a prospective client to understand their actual warehouse allocation and cost vs projected results leveraging OptiFunder.

#### **STUDY PARAMETERS**

decisions to achieve strategic objectives.

Loan-level warehouse expense data access was provided by prospect to OptiFunder covering the periods of **May 2022** - **June 2022**. The data included interest expense, fees, nonuse and rebates. This study shows projected warehouse allocation leveraging OptiFunder's proprietary optimization software.

This study doesn't include additional savings achieved via OptiFunder platform automation/FTE resource savings.

The prospect is an independent mortgage lender licensed in **45 states**. Average monthly volume funded during the period was **\$250M**, utilizing **four** warehouse facilities.

The OptiFunder WMS has a rules-based decision engine which enables originators to optimize decisions to meet strategic objectives such as maximizing ROE, meeting specific funding targets or achieving the lowest cost of capital. For this study, the objective was to achieve the lowest cost of capital.



#### **KEY FINDINGS**

\$58,000


in total cost reduction for period


\$350,000

annualized savings

### **VOLUME PERFORMANCE COMPARISON**







OptiFunder selected different funded warehouses on 68.6% of loans (1,300 of 1,894).


Warehouse B saw largest increase in funded volume while Warehouses A & D saw the material declines.

#### CONCLUSION



OptiFunder would have reduced total warehouse costs by \$58,000.

Similar study findings and reports by other clients, support these results. These savings do not quantify savings achieved via automation of funding and loan sale activities.



In a time of declining margins & revenue, optimized decisioning is a way to preserve profits; streamlining with automation improves these savings. In this challenging market, IMBs should embrace a full warehouse management system, combining automation of tasks with optimized decisioning to maximize savings.

For a free back test of your data, contact:

info@optifunder.com

(888) 245-8668

optifunder.com

